Survey

As part of my bachelor-thesis I analyse the Impact of the European Digital Dividend 1 and 2 on the use of frequencies by wireless production tools (Audio-PMSE) in different countries of the European Union.

With the following survey I want to determine realistic values. For this reason I ask you, to take some time. If you have any further questions, please contact me.

tille. If you have any further questions, ple	ase contact me.
Pia Seeger Mobil: 0176-30659089 E-Mail: <u>pia.seeger@gmx.de</u>	
*DD1 = Digital Dividend 1	
would be very grateful, if you could introdinformation in my bachelor-thesis.	luce your organisation shortly and I could use these
Name of the organisation:	
Kind of organisation:	
Please explain your field of activity a bit m	nore precisely
by your company before the DD1.	sy in Europe, I am interested in the audio-equipment used sion did you use and how many units did you have in
Device	Number of units in stock
Wireless microphones	
In Ear Monitoring Systems	
Others:	
manufacturers?	nufacturer or do you use devices of different

The Digital Dividend 1 and with it the new allocation of the 800 MHz band effects all devices, which are licensed in this frequency band.

I am interested, which effort the new allocation did create for companies acting in the field of event technology.

Were you able to still use devices bought before the 1st Digital Dividend after it? How many?

Note: More than one option can be chosen

No.

Some devices are still usable without any modification.

Yes, all devices are still usable without any modification.

Some devices were modified and are usable.

Some devices were modified and are not usable anymore.

Which devices are still usable under certain conditions/not usable anymore?

Usable	Not usable
Type / Percentage	Type / Percentage

Because of the new allocation of the 800MHz frequency band, some devices before acting in this band had to be modified. I am interested in which kind of and how much effort this created for companies, which operate in the field of event technology.

Which kind of and how much effort (e.g. filling of applications or adjustment of devices) was created by the DD1 for your company?

Note: For different subject areas please use the following table more often.

Effort	Description	Level of efforts 0 = no effort 1 = small effort 2 = okay effort 3 = high effort 4 = not acceptably high effort
Higher costs		
Send in of devices		
Self-contained adjustment of devices		
New devices had to be bought		
Filling of applications		

I kindly ask for information, which frequency bands or tuning ranges (doesn't matter which, 800 MHz or others) were used before and after the DD1 to find out, if there was a significant change. In addition I would like to know the effect of the DD1 on the use of PMSE.

Which frequency range did you use prevalently b	efore the DD1 (every frequency b	and you used)?

I wonder if this was changed because of the DD1? If so, which frequencies/tuning ranges do you use now?

I would like to know, if there were any problems after the terminated allocation, which weren't known before. Did you have increased problems after DD1?

How often did you recognize interferences at events after DD1 in the remaining spectrum?
Interferences did not increase.
Increase of interferences, but still controllable, because we could switch to other channels.
Increased interferences caused serious problems, we have a limitation of our productions.
Others:
In which frequency bands or on which frequencies did you recognize the interferences mostly?
Which kind of interferences were there?
Interferences probably produced by mobile radio devices.
Interferences occur from neighbouring events.
The mix of both.
Unknown source.
Other interferences:
What do you usually do, when interferences occur in your tuning range?
I changed the frequency/tuning range, antenna or location.
I informed the relevant administration.
The mix of both.

Others:_____